PHYSIOLOGICAL AND PERFORMANCE EFFECTS OF ALTITUDE TRAINING AND EXPOSURE IN ELITE ATHLETES

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
of the University of Canberra

Eileen Y. Robertson
MSc (Research) Sports Studies, University of Stirling, 2005
MSci Physiology & Sports Science, University of Glasgow, 2002

August, 2009
ABSTRACT

Despite widespread popularity of altitude training with athletes and coaches, and extensive research over the last 50 years, the transfer of improvements in physiological capacities to competitive performance remains uncertain. This thesis quantified the magnitude of performance gains required to improve placing in international competition, and the performance enhancements and physiological adaptations that can be obtained from altitude training and exposure in elite swimmers and runners.

Performance gains of ~1% will substantially increase the chance of a medal in elite swimmers. This was quantified by a novel analysis of the relationships between lap time and performance, which combined between-athlete correlations and within-athlete effects. Overall, the final lap for 100-m events and the middle two laps for 200-m and 400-m events had the strongest relationship (r~0.7-0.9) with final time. A change in these laps was associated with ~0.4-0.8% improvement in final time for finalists, and ~0.5-1.1% for semi-finalists, depending on sex, stroke and event. However, a similar pattern of lap times was adopted in each event regardless of the sex, finish position, or the best and worst swims for an individual. To gain a competitive advantage, many athletes employ some form of altitude training in an attempt to elicit small enhancements in performance.

Three to four repeated 2-wk blocks of living and training at natural altitude (1350 m) and/or simulated live high/train low exposure (LHTL, 2600 m, 9-10 h·d\(^{-1}\)) were undertaken by elite swimmers (n=9). Each 2-wk block produced the following mean improvements: haemoglobin mass (H\textsubscript{b mass}), 0.9% (±0.8%, 90% confidence limits); 4-mM lactate threshold velocity, 0.9% (±0.8%); 2-km time-trial performance, 1.2% (±1.6%). There was no substantial improvement in competition performance compared with swimmers (n=9) who received no altitude exposure (altitude-control: 0.5%; ±1.0%). To gain substantial enhancements in physiological and performance capacities, a more effective model of altitude training is required.

The final two studies employed 3-wk bouts of simulated LHTL (3000 m, 14 h·d\(^{-1}\)) in well-trained runners. The test-retest reliability of responses to 2 x 3-wk LHTL were quantified, with reproducible increases in Block 1 and 2 for \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\) (2.1%; ±2.1% and 2.1%; ±3.9%) and H\textsubscript{b mass} (2.8%; ±2.1% and 2.7%; ±1.8%), but 4.5-km time-trial performance was more variable (-1.4%; ±1.1%, faster and 0.7%; ±1.3%, slower) in the LHTL group (n=8). Compared with
the control group (n=8) who had only trivial changes, the LHTL group were substantially faster after Block 1 (LHTL-control: -1.9%; ±1.8%), had higher Hb\textsubscript{mass} after Block 2 (4.2%; ±2.1%), but a trivial difference in change in VO\textsubscript{2max} after each 3-wk block. It appears that physiological responses to altitude are reproducible, but transfer to improved time-trial performance is more variable.

Finally, the effect of 3 weeks of intermittent hypoxic training (TH, 2200 m, 4·wk\textsuperscript{-1}) or combined LHTL plus TH (LH/TL+TH) were examined. The LH/TL+TH group (n=8) substantially improved VO\textsubscript{2max} (4.8%; ±2.8%), Hb\textsubscript{mass} (3.6%; ±2.4%) and 3-km time-trial performance (-1.1%; ±1.0%); while the TH group (n=9) improved VO\textsubscript{2max} (2.2%; ±1.8%), but had only trivial changes in Hb\textsubscript{mass} and time trial. The LH/TL+TH group had substantially higher Hb\textsubscript{mass} (4.3%; ±3.2%), however, the small increase in VO\textsubscript{2max} (2.6%; ±3.2%) and trivial improvement in time trial performance (-0.9%; ±1.4%) became unclear when adjusted for differences in training (VO\textsubscript{2max}: 0.8%; ±3.5% and time trial: -0.3%; ±1.5%). LH/TL+TH was a potent stimulator for improvements in physiological measures, but transfer of these improvements to time-trial performance was limited.

A structured program of 3-wk simulated LHTL, with or without additional hypoxic training, can elicit substantial improvements in VO\textsubscript{2max} and Hb\textsubscript{mass} and enhance time trial performance by ~1% in well-trained athletes. Evidence of individual responses indicates some athletes respond more favourably than others, and the degree of within-athlete variation to repeated bouts indicates altitude training should be managed carefully in the context of other training and competition. Altitude training and exposure can enhance physiological capacities, but further research is required to improve the direct transfer of these benefits to competitive performance.
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